

SWIM Compliance Report METHazardEnrouteForecast

Document information

Project Title Deliverable
Project Number 08.01.01

Project Manager EUROCONTROL

Deliverable Name SWIM Compliance Report METHazardEnrouteForecast

Deliverable ID D48
Edition 00.01.04
Template Version 03.00.00

Task contributors

EUROCONTROL, THALES, DSNA, INDRA, AENA and NATS

Abstract

This report is evidence that the **Validation Exercise EXE-13.02.03 VP-700** has services that have been assessed for SWIM Compliance. It provides the SWIM Compliance Level for each of the services assessed in the Validation Exercise.

Authoring & Approval

Prepared By - Authors of the document.		
Name & Company	Position & Title	Date
EUROCONTROL		
EUROCONTROL		

Reviewed By - Reviewers internal to the project.		
Name & Company	Position & Title	Date
EUROCONTROL		
EUROCONTROL		
FINMECCANICA		
AIRBUS		
AVINOR		

Reviewed By - Other SESAR projects, Airspace Users, staff association, military, Industrial Support, other organisations.		
Name & Company Position & Title Date		Date

Approved for submission to the SJU By - Representatives of the company involved in the project.		
Name & Company Position & Title Date		

Rejected By - Representatives of the company involved in the project.		
Name & Company Position & Title Date		

Rational for rejection	
None.	

Document History

Edition	Date	Status	Author	Justification
00.01.01	25-09-2015	Draft		Need a VP-700 team review
00.01.02	10-12-2015	Draft		Completion and Review
00.01.03	19-01-2016	Draft		Review and Corrections
00.01.04	20-04-2016	Final Draft		Include external reviews

founding members





Avenue de Cortenbergh 100 | B -1000 Bruxelles www.sesarju.eu

Intellectual Property Rights (foreground)

This deliverable consists of SJU foreground.

Intellectual Property Rights (background)

This deliverable consists of THALES, DSNA, INDRA, AENA and NATS background intellectual property.

Table of Contents

E	XECUTI	VE SUMMARY	5
1	INTR	ODUCTION	6
		PURPOSE OF THE DOCUMENT	
		INTENDED READERSHIP	
		ACRONYMS AND TERMINOLOGY	
	1.4	ACRONYMS AND TERMINOLOGY	9
2	SWIN	MICOMPLIANCE REPORT SUMMARY	12
3	DETA	AILS OF THE COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT	13
	3.1	DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICES	13
	3.2	CONTACTS	14
	3.3	INFORMATION SERVICE COMPLIANCE	15
	3.3.1	General Evidence	15
	3.3.2	Evidence for Information Service Compliance	15
	3.3.3	Assessment Result - Information Service Compliance Level	16
	3.4	INFORMATION COMPLIANCE	18
	3.4.1	Evidence for Information Compliance – General Case	18
	3.4.2		
	3.4.3	AIRM Change Requests	20
	3.4.4	Out of Scope Justifications	20
	3.4.5	Assessment Result - Information Compliance Level	21
	3.5	COMPLIANCE WITH SWIM-TITS	22
	3.5.1	Evidence for TI Compliance	22
	3.5.2		
	3.6	POST-CONDITIONS FOR SWIM COMPLIANCE	
	3.6.1	Post-condition on payload compliance	24
4	FEEC	DBACK FROM SWIM COMPLIANCE ACCEPTANCE TEAM	25
	4.1	SERVICE ASSESSMENT: CONCLUSIONS AND WAY FORWARD	25
		SWIM COMPLIANCE CRITERIA FEEDBACK	
5	RFFF	FRENCES	26



Executive Summary

This report is evidence that the **Validation Exercise 13.02.03 VP-700** has services that have been assessed for SWIM Compliance. It provides the SWIM Compliance Level for each of the services assessed in the Validation Exercise.

1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose of the Document

This template report is part of the SWIM Compliance Framework, produced in the context of SWIM Compliance for Validation Exercises that want to demonstrate the SWIM Compliance level. The SWIM Compliance Criteria for R5 explain the criteria against we assess for SWIM Compliance. This template provides the evidence to satisfy the Compliance Criteria. The steps in completing the template report are the following:

- 1. The SWIM Compliance Applicant person responsible for the Validation Exercise, with assistance from WP 8 and WP 14 experts, produces the SWIM Compliance Report i.e. using this template.
- The report is then handed over to the SWIM Compliance Acceptance Team, who performs the assessment and completes this template report into the final SWIM Compliance Assessment Report, including a SWIM Compliance Level.

This report is meant to contain all evidences that show the SWIM compliance for the Service Technical Design Description (STDD) for a service.

1.2 Intended Readership

- WP8 / WP 14
- WP 3
- Persons participating in the R5 Validation Exercise (e.g. Owners of the Validation Exercise)
- System Projects
- SWIM Compliance Acceptance Team

1.3 Acronyms and Terminology

Term	Definition
Capability	The collective ability to deliver a specified type of effect or a specified course of action. Within the context of the SESAR Programme a capability is therefore the ability to support the delivery of a specific operational concept to an agreed level of performance. Source: Common working meeting between B41 EA study and B43 T5. In bold, the NATO Architecture Framework V3 definition
Governance	Ability of decision-makers to set policies regarding stakeholders, services, and their relationships
Information Exchange	A specification of the information that is to be exchanged. An Information Exchange must have a unique identifier. Source: NATO Architecture Framework V3 definition.
Information Exchange Requirement	characteristics, of the requirement to transfer information between two or more end users. The characteristics described include source, recipients, content, size, timeliness, security and trigger. IERs are defined as independent of the communications medium. An IER may express both current and future requirements.
	Note: an information element is the descriptor of the content in the IER.

¹ For definition and example of SWIM Compliance Applicant, see SWIM Compliance Criteria document.





Term	Definition
	Source: (British) Ministry of Defence, Information Exchange Requirements.
Infrastructure profile	A set of features characterising the enabling infrastructure, including the QoS and security that the infrastructure provides, technical constraints, user behaviour patterns and characteristics.
	Profiles relate to legacy and/or new infrastructures such as the SWIM technical infrastructure. Source: B43 T5 study
Means of compliance	Means to demonstrate that an 'Object under Assessment' conforms to a rule (such rule being as e.g., a specification, policy, standard or law)
Node	A logical entity that performs Operational Activities specified independently of any physical implementation, e.g. a stakeholder type providing and/or consuming operational information within a network of other stakeholders. Source: Common working meeting between B41 EA study and B43 T5. In bold, the NATO Architecture Framework V3 Definition.
Object under Assessment	Item (i.e., specifications, mechanisms, activities, individuals) upon which an assessment method is applied during an assessment. In this document, the Object under Assessment (OuA) is the Service Technical Design Description for a service.
Operational Focus Area	A limited set of dependent operational and technical improvements related to an Operational Sub-Package, comprising specific interrelated Ols designed to meet specific performance expectations of the ATM Performance Partnership. Source: ATM Lexicon
Policy	Principle or rule with a view to guiding decisions and achieving one or more rational outcomes
Registry	The SWIM registry is a trusted, managed, complete and consolidated source of reference for service information and related regulations (policies, standards, certifications and taxonomies). It holds all SWIM metadata regarding:
	- stakeholders,
	- service definitions (ISRM),
	- service instances,
	and the links between them.
	Source: Registry ConOps
Service	The contractual provision of something (a non-physical object), by one party, for the use of one or more other parties. Services involve interactions between providers and consumers, which may be performed in a digital form (data exchanges) or through voice communication or written processes and procedures. Source: ATM Lexicon
Service definition	The specification of a service as it appears in the Service Description Document and Service Interface Definition. The Service Description Document consists of a mix of textual information and graphics (expressed in a UML notation). The Service Interface Definition consists of machine-interpretable constructs specified according to the selected technical platform, including the necessary technology bindings, e.g. complete

() £



Term	Definition
	WSDL (and XSD), IDL, AMQP, DDS, etc. Source: B4.3 Working Method on Services.
Service interface	The mechanism by which a service communicates.
	Service providers and consumers need to implement service interfaces in order to be able to collaborate. A service interface includes service operations that enable access to the functionality of the services identified, as well as the data used in the service interaction. Source: B43 T5 study.
Service instance	Service which has been implemented in accordance with its specification in the service catalogue (during the SESAR Development Phase, the service definitions are available in the ISRM) by a service provider (by itself or contracted to a third party). Source: SWIM ConOps
Service level	A value specification for one or more service attributes indicating the level to which a technical system (or resource if including non-automated services) delivers a service in a particular environment. Example: A "Service Response time" may be defined in relation to a service. A given technical system could have a corresponding Service Level, e.g. "Less than 3 seconds". Source: B43 T5 study.
Service consumer	Stakeholder which consumes service(s) provided by other stakeholder(s)
Service lifecycle	The lifecycle defines the sequence of phases followed by a service.
Service Payload definition	The data/information exchange model represented in UML contained in the Service Description Document.
Service provider	Stakeholder which provides service(s) that can be consumed by other stakeholder(s)
SWIM	System-wide information management. SWIM consists of standards, infrastructure and governance enabling the management of ATM information and its exchange between qualified parties via interoperable services. Source: SWIM ConOps.
SWIM Common Component	A SWIM infrastructure element managed by the 'SWIM authority' and implementing a shared capability, e.g. registry, PKI, etc. Source: SWIM ConOps.
SWIM Compliance Acceptance Team	The group of experts who perform the SWIM Compliance Assessment and provide the final SWIM Compliance Level.
SWIM Infrastructure	The sum of all the SWIM infrastructure elements which are needed to support SWIM services. Source: B43 T5 study.
SWIM Profile	A SWIM profile is a coherent, appropriately sized grouping of middleware functions/services for a given set of technical constraints/requirements which permit a set of stakeholders to share information
Service Technical Design Description	A set of one or more published documents that express meta information about a service. The fundamental part of a service contract consists of the service description documents that express its technical interface. These form the Service Technical Design Description (STDD) which essentially establishes an API into the functionality offered by the service.

founding members



Term	Definition
	The service interface definition in the STDD is mainly given as a machine-readable format usually provided in a standard definition language such as IDL, WSDL or others. The STDD also describes such aspects as the message exchange pattern between provider and consumer, plus the chosen SWIM profile and requirements (bindings) on the technical infrastructure.
	A STDD can further reference human-readable documents, such as Service Level Agreement (SLA) that describes additional quality-of-service features, behaviours and limitations.

1.4 Acronyms and Terminology

Term	Definition			
AIRM	ATM Information Reference Model.			
ADQ	Aeronautical Data Quality			
ATM	Air Traffic Management			
CLDM	Consolidated Logical Data Model			
ConOps	Concept of operations			
DDS	Data Distribution Service			
DOD	Detailed Operational Description			
EA	Enterprise Architecture			
EAEA	European ATM Enterprise Architecture			
EASA	European Aviation Safety Agency			
EC	European Commission			
EU	European Union			
ESB	Enterprise Service Bus			
EUROCAE	European Organization for Civil Aviation Equipment			
IBP	Industry Based Prototype			
ICAO	International Civil Aviation Organisation			
ICD	Interface Control Document			
IER	Information Exchange Requirements			
INTEROP	Interoperability Requirements			
IRS	Interface Requirements Specification			



Term	Definition				
ISO	International Organisation for Standardisation				
ISRM	Information Services Reference Model				
IT	Information Technology				
ITIL	IT Infrastructure Library (ITIL® provides a Best Practice guidance framework for IT Service Management)				
MET	Meteorology				
NAF	NATO Architecture Framework				
OFA	Operational Focus Area				
OI	Operational Improvement				
OPS	Operational				
OSED	Operational Service and Environment Definition				
OuA	Object under Assessment				
РКІ	Public Key Infrastructure				
QoS	Quality of Service				
RPC	Remote Procedure Call				
RTCA	Radio Technical Commission for Aeronautics				
SACG	SWIM Architect Co-ordination Group				
scg	Service Coordination Group				
SCL	SWIM Compliance Level				
SDD	Service Description Document				
SES	Single European Sky				
SESAR	Single European Sky ATM Research Programme				
SESAR Programme	The programme which defines the research and development activities and projects for the SJU				
SID	Service Identification Document				
SIR	Service Identification Report				
SJU	SESAR Joint Undertaking (Agency of the European Commission)				
SJU Work Programme	The programme which addresses all activities of the SESAR Joint				



Term	Definition			
	Undertaking Agency.			
SLA	Service Level Agreement			
SOA	Service Oriented Approach			
SOAP	Simple Object Access Protocol			
SoaML	Service Oriented Architecture Modelling Language			
SVA	Service Activity			
SWIM	System Wide Information Management			
SWIM TI	SWIM Technical Infrastructure			
sys	System Projects			
TAD	Technical Architecture Description			
тѕ	Technical Specification			
STDD	Service Technical Design Description			
UDDI	Universal Description, Discovery and Integration			
UML	Unified Modelling Language			
URN	Uniform Resource Name			
WP	Work Package			
WSDL	Web Services Description Language			
XSD	XML Schema Definition			

2 SWIM Compliance Report Summary

This section summarises the main information about the compliance assessment.

STDD Name and Version	European ATM Description for the METHazardEnrouteForecast Service Edition 01.00		
Services assessed for SWIM Compliance	METHazardEnrouteForecast		
Version of the AIRM	3.3.0		
Version of the ISRM	1.4		
Version of the TI	3.0		
Version of SWIM Compliance Framework applied	SWIM Compliance Framework Criteria D48 edition 00.02.11		
Reason for the Assessment	Demonstrate the SWIM Compliance for services in Validation Exercises VP-700		
Responsible for service requirements			
SWIM Support			
Name of the SWIM Acceptance Team	AIRM:		
	ISRM:		
	TI:		
SWIM Compliance Level per service and compliance domain	METHazardEnrouteForecast AIRM Compliant, ISRM Compliant ² , TI Binding Yellow Profile Compatible		

Notes:

- Italics need to be verified and updated, text in Blue will be filled in by the SWIM Acceptance Team
- The SWIM Acceptance Team, following the final assessment, could change the SWIM Compliance levels.

² The Information Service assessment is dependent on the Change Request raised to ISRM CCB to include the Synchronous Request/Reply MEP to the Service





3 Details of the Compliance Assessment

This section expands of the summary contained in section 2. It covers the main information about the compliance assessment in the three areas (Technical Infrastructure (TI), Information Exchange Services (ISRM), Information (AIRM)) and provides additional details where needed. This section has to be filled in by the SWIM Compliance Applicant, together with the SWIM Support team (WP 8 and WP 14 experts). The SWIM Acceptance Team will assess the information below and provide the final SWIM Compliance Level.

The detailed criteria are available in the SWIM Compliance Framework for R5 V&V exercises [21].

3.1 Description of the services

This section shall contain:

- The name (and version) of the services being assessed as per the STDD;
- A brief description of the service. Information form the Service Portfolio can be referenced or copied.

Service Name	Description
METHazardEnrouteForecast Version 01.00	The METHazardEnrouteForecast service defines an information service for exchanging Forecasts and Nowcasts of significant weather phenomena. The service is realised in the publish/subscribe message exchange pattern and to this end defines subscribe, unsubscribe and publish operations. The subscription mechanism additionally allows for fine-grained filtering. It provides three operations: subscribeToForecast unsubscribeFromForecast publishForecast

3.2 Contacts

The following list contains the contacts for the SWIM Services that are assessed for SWIM Compliance. The information is needed to be able to contact the right person in the case that more evidence or clarifications are required.

Service Name	Contacts
METHazardEnrouteForecast	Aeronautical Information Reference Model (AIRM) Contacts:
	Information Exchange Service (ISRM) Contacts:
	TI Contacts:

3.3 Information Service Compliance

3.3.1 General Evidence

The purpose of checking the Information Exchange Service Compliance is to ensure that the OuA (i.e. the STDD describing the realisation of the service within the used technology context) meets the description of the logical service in the SDD.

You must fill in the table below to indicate the relevant Logical Service together with its version and origin. If the logical service is not part of the ISRM provide this fact in the right column.

Service Name	Logical Service Name	Logical Service Origin and Version Number
METHazardEnrouteForecast	METHazardEnrouteForecast	SDD Version 1.00 https://extranet.sesarju.eu/WP 08/Project 08.03.10/Project%20Plan/ISRM%201.4/DEL 08.03.10 D64 European ATM Service Description for METHazardEnrouteForecast Service.doc

3.3.2 Evidence for Information Service Compliance

For each of the services assessed, the evidence for the Information Exchange Service compliance as required by the SWIM Compliance Framework Criteria Document [21].

The relevant mappings can be given as tables in the sections below or referenced from external documents.

3.3.2.1 Operations mapping (IS-1)

Provide a mapping that shows which operation(s) in your STDD implements which operation given in the SDD in order to assess condition IS-1 in the Information Service Criteria Document. All SDD operations need to be mapped. In case of uncertainty or deviations, please provide textual justification.

Operation name per STDD	Operation name per SDD	
subscribeToForecast	subscribeToForecast	
unsubscribeFromForecast	unsubscribeFromForecast	
publishForecast	publishForecast	

3.3.2.2 Payload mapping (IS-2)

Provide a mapping that shows which payload element in your STDD is semantically equivalent to which attribute given in the SDD in order to assess condition IS-2 in the Information Service Criteria Document. All SDD attributes need to be mapped. In case of uncertainty or deviations, please provide textual justification.

Due to the implementation of the Service using Request/Reply MEP the mapping should be understood as logical.

SDD Entity	Physical Description Document Element	
METHazardEnrouteForecastPublication	GetFeature Reply	

founding members



METHazardEnrouteForecastSubscription	GetFeature

3.3.2.3 **MEP** mapping (IS-3)

Provide Information on which technical Message Exchange Pattern will be used in your implementation in order to assess condition IS-3 in the Information Service Criteria Document. If you deviate from the mapping given in Appendix B of [21] please provide a textual justification.

SDD			STDD	
Service	Operation	MEP	Proposed SWIM-TI Profile	
METHazardEnroute Forecast	subscribeToForecast		Yellow Profile v3.00	SRR-MEP
	unsubscribeFromFor ecast	Publish/Subs cribe Push		
	publishForecast			

The MEP used in the STDD doesn't match the one defined in the SDD. A Change Request to the ISRM CCB has been petitioned to include the Synchronous Request/Reply MEP in the definition of the METHazardEnrouteObservation Service. In accordance with the SWIM Compliance Framework, IS-3 condition is considered satisfied until a final decision is taken by ISRM CCB.

3.3.2.4 Service in ISRM (IS-4)

IS-4: "The referenced logical service is part of the ISRM."

The METHazardEnrouteForecast Service is part of ISRM 1.4.

3.3.2.5 NFR mapping (IS-5)

IS-5: "For all the interfaces involved in the mapping as per IS-1, all NFRs required by the SDD are mapped to either NFRs in the STDD or fulfilled by design decisions."

No specific requirements set. Yellow profile applied.

3.3.3 Assessment Result - Information Service Compliance Level

Please, fill in the table with the Information Service Compliance level achieved for each of the services based on the evidence collected above and on the conditions stated in the Compliance Framework Criteria Document section on "Information Service Compliance".

Service Name	Information Service Compliance Level- Claimed To be filled in by the SWIM Compliance Applicant	Information Service Compliance Level- approved To be filled in by the SWIM Acceptance Team	Remarks (optional) To be filled in by the SWIM Acceptance Team
--------------	--	--	--





<u>METHazardEnrouteForecast</u>	Statement on the level of compliance claimed by the Compliance Applicant: Information Service Compliant	The evidence provided supports the Information Service Compliant level of Compliance.	The MEP used doesn't match the MEP required by the SDD. Assessment of Compliance is based on the Change Request to ISRM to include the SRR MEP to the Service.
---------------------------------	---	---	--



3.4 Information Compliance

3.4.1 Evidence for Information Compliance – General Case

The purpose of checking the Information/AIRM compliance is to demonstrate that all elements in OuA (i.e. one service's physical message(s)) have a semantic correspondence with elements in the AIRM in accordance to AIRM Compliance Rulebook [23]. The templates and examples provided in example formats in the AIRM Compliance Handbook [23] could be used but are not mandatory.

The information provided in the Table 1 is mandatory to be provided for all targeted levels of compliance.

Table 1. General information (one table per service)

Service Name	<u>METHazardEnrouteForecast</u>
AIRM version	3.3.0
Reference to AIRM	A reference to the AIRM foundation and model artefacts constituting named AIRM version.
Reference to OuA (Physical Messages)	METHazardEnrouteFilter, Airspace, METHazardEnrouteForecastSubscriber,WeatherCondition, WeatherPhenomenon, MovementDescription

For each of the services assessed, provide here the evidence for semantic correspondence of the physical messages to AIRM reaching one of the following three levels according to the AIRM Compliance Rulebook:

- If you aim at level "Information Ready" then provide evidence for Level 1 AIRM compliance. As a rule of thumb this implies providing a mapping between all <u>OuA information entities to corresponding AIRM</u> elements;
- If you aim at level "Information Compatible" then provide evidence for Level 2 AIRM compliance. As a rule of thumb this implies providing a mapping between all <u>OuA entities and property to corresponding</u> AIRM elements;
- If you aim at level "Information Compliant" then provide evidence for Level 3 AIRM compliance. As a rule of thumb this implies providing a mapping between each <u>OuA entity, property, datatype and business</u> rule constraint to corresponding AIRM element(s): entities, properties, datatypes and constraints.

According to the Handbook, the mapping could be given in one of the following formats:

- in UML as a set of <<SemanticTrace>> relationships mapping OuA::ModelElements to AIRM::ModelElements (as a Sparx EA or an XMI file embedded in the report, or linked via a reference to the Extranet), if the service message is represented in UML;
- as a table showing the correspondence between service physical message element names and AIRM element names, including the AIRM elements' URNs. This applies e.g. for messages expressed as XSD schemas.

Table 2. Evidence for semantic correspondence with AIRM (one table per service)

Evide	 METHazardEnrouteForecastSubscriber : CLDM_out_of_scope (1)³
nce for	 METHazardEnrouteFilter : CLDM_out_of_scope (1)
AIRM	Airspace
Comp	o Designator
	 CLDMSemanticTrace : urn:x-

founding members



ses:sesarju:airm:v330:ConsolidatedLogicalDataModel:SubjectField s:AirspaceInfrastructure:Airspace:Airspace@designator

- METHazardEnrouteForecastPublisher : CLDM_out_of_scope (1)
- WeatherCondition:
 - confidence
 - CLDMSemanticTrace: urn:xses:sesarju:airm:v330:ConsolidatedLogicalDataModel:SubjectField s:Meteorology:GenericMeteorology:WeatherCondition@confidence
- WeatherPhenomenon:
 - Extent
 - CLDMSemanticTrace: urn:xses:sesarju:airm:v330:ConsolidatedLogicalDataModel:SubjectField s:Meteorology:GenericMeteorology:WeatherPhenomena:WeatherP henomenon@extent
 - Intensity
 - CLDMSemanticTrace: urn:xses:sesarju:airm:v330:ConsolidatedLogicalDataModel:SubjectField s:Meteorology:GenericMeteorology:WeatherPhenomena:WeatherP henomenon@intensity
- MovementDescription
 - directionTowards
 - CLDMSemanticTrace: urn:xses:sesarju:airm:v330:ConsolidatedLogicalDataModel:SubjectField s:Meteorology:GenericMeteorology:WeatherPhenomena:Movement Description@ directionTowards
 - o isStationary
 - CLDMSemanticTrace: urn:xses:sesarju:airm:v330:ConsolidatedLogicalDataModel:SubjectField s:Meteorology:GenericMeteorology:WeatherPhenomena:Movement Description@ isStationary
 - speed
 - CLDMSemanticTrace: urn:xses:sesarju:airm:v330:ConsolidatedLogicalDataModel:SubjectField s:Meteorology:GenericMeteorology:WeatherPhenomena:Movement Description@speed
- VerticallyBoundedPhenomenon
 - o Base
 - CLDMSemanticTrace: urn:xses:sesarju:airm:v330:ConsolidatedLogicalDataModel:SubjectField s:Meteorology:GenericMeteorology:WeatherPhenomena:VerticallyB oundedPhenomenon@base
 - о Тор
 - CLDMSemanticTrace: urn:xses:sesarju:airm:v330:ConsolidatedLogicalDataModel:SubjectField s:Meteorology:GenericMeteorology:WeatherPhenomena:VerticallyB oundedPhenomenon@top



- Convection
 - CLDMSemanticTrace: urn:xses:sesarju:airm:v330:ConsolidatedLogicalDataModel:SubjectFields:Meteor ology:GenericMeteorology:WeatherPhenomena:Convection
- **Icing**
 - accretionRate
 - CLDMSemanticTrace: urn:xses:sesarju:airm:v330:ConsolidatedLogicalDataModel:SubjectField s:Meteorology:AviationMeteorology:AviationWeatherPhenomena:Ici ng@accretionRate
- Turbulence
 - Edr
- CLDMSemanticTrace: urn:xses:sesarju:airm:v330:ConsolidatedLogicalDataModel:SubjectField s:Meteorology:AviationMeteorology:AviationWeatherPhenomena:Tu rbulence@edr

Additional evidences may be provided in an annex to the report.

3.4.2 Evidence for Information Compliance – reuse of existing approved compliance report

Not applicable

3.4.3 AIRM Change Requests

In case there is a gap in the AIRM impeding the Semantic Correspondence, one or more AIRM CRs shall be issued accordingly and the service message attributes shall be mapped to those CRs (see [9]). The table below shall be used to record the intention to fill in those gaps in a future release of AIRM.

Element in the Object Under Assessment	AIRM Change Request Number
N/A	N/A

3.4.4 Out of Scope Justifications

If the service message contains elements which are "out of scope" of the AIRM, according to the AIRM Compliance Rulebook [9], a justification shall be provided in the table below. Otherwise mark this section as "Not Applicable".

Element in the Object Under Assessment	Reason why it is out of scope
CLDM_out_of_scope (1):	These data elements are pure container. They
METHazardEnrouteForecastSubscriber	need not be traced semantically.
METHazardEnrouteFilter	
METHazardEnrouteForecastPublisher	

3.4.5 Assessment Result - Information Compliance Level

Please, fill in the table with the Information Compliance level achieved for each of the services based on the evidence collected above and on the conditions stated in the Compliance Framework Criteria Document section on "Information Compliance".

Service Name	Information Compliance Level - Claimed To be filled in by the SWIM Compliance Applicant	Information Compliance Level- Approved To be filled in by the SWIM Acceptance Team	Remarks (optional) To be filled in by the SWIM Acceptance Team
METHazardEnrouteForecast	Statement on the level of compliance claimed by the Compliance Applicant: Information Compliant	The evidence provided supports the Information Compliant level of Compliance	N/A.

Note: The AIRM is available: Error! Reference source not found..

3.5 Compliance with SWIM-TI TS

3.5.1 Evidence for TI Compliance

The purpose of checking the SWIM TI compliance is to ensure that the services are instantiated on a given SWIM-TI Profile. This section shall contain the assessment level against the TI for of each of the services.

You (the Applicant) must fill in the table below per each service.

Table 3. Evidence for TI Compliance (one table per service)

Table 3. Evidence for TI Compliance (one table per service)			
Field name	Reference to TI criteria condition	Evidence	
Service Name	(N/A)	METHazardEnrouteForecast	
SWIM Profile	(N/A)	Yellow	
SWIM TI Profiles Version	TI-1	3.0	
	TI-2	SRR-MEP	
MEP⁴	TI-5	The Interface Binding REQ-14.01.04-TS-0901.0302 has the MEP SRR-MEP which matches the MEP chosen in the STDD.	
Technology	TI-3	Web service protocol stack HTTPS GET/POST/PUTDELETE/HEAD over TCP.	
Interface Binding	TI-4	REQ-14.01.04-TS-0901.0302	
Link to the service interface	TI-6	https://extranet.sesarju.eu/WP_11M/Project_11.02.02/Project%20Plan/M_ET-GATE%20WebService%20Description%20-%201.1.pdf http://docs.opengeospatial.org/is/09-025r2/09-025r2.html	
Requirements coverage	TI-7	Not provided	

3.5.2 Assessment Result – TI Compliance Level

Please, fill in the table with the TI Compliance level achieved for each of the services based on the evidence collected above and on the conditions stated in the Compliance Framework Criteria Document section on "Technical Infrastructure Compliance".

Service Name	TI Compliance Level - Claimed	TI Compliance Level- approved	Remarks (optional)
	To be filled in by the SWIM	To be filled in by the	To be filled in by the SWIM



	Compliance Applicant	SWIM Acceptance Team	Acceptance Team
METHazardEnrouteForecast	Statement on the level of compliance claimed by the Compliance Applicant: TI Binding Yellow Profile Compatible	The evidence provided supports the TI Binding Yellow Profile Compatible level of Compliance.	Interface Bindings requirements coverage not provided, consider providing evidence to achieve Yellow Profile 3.0 Compliant level.



3.6 Post-conditions for SWIM Compliance

3.6.1 Post-condition on payload compliance

Compliance criteria described in sections 3.3 and 3.4 require that the OuA payload has documented mappings to the SDD and to the AIRM respectively. In this section you must provide documentation, how these two mappings can be checked for coherence.

For this purpose describe here how the mappings of the payload in sections 3.3 and 3.4 can be compared. It is advisable to make use of identical techniques documenting the two mappings enabling to combine them in a common view. This allows you (the Applicant) to check the coherence upfront and the SWIM Acceptance to complete their work without further enquiries.

If the process for information service and information compliance (thread 1) has been followed as indicated in the the Appendix on the process of the criteria document, then simply declare that the post-condition should already be met

If errors are spotted in the SDD-AIRM mappings, then raise a CR to the SDD via ISRM CCB and write here the CR codename issued.



4 Feedback from SWIM Compliance Acceptance Team

4.1 Service assessment: conclusions and way forward

This section is filled in by the SWIM Acceptance Team. The Acceptance Team recaps here the overall feedback on the evidence material provided in the previous sections and make recommendations to the Applicant on the way forward for achieving the claimed level of SWIM Compliance.

In accordance with the SWIM Compliance Framework an exception to the application of IS-3 has been made upon the existence of a petition to ISRM CCB of a Change Request to include the implemented MEP on the service logical definition. The SWIM Compliance Report is recommended to be updated to include the concrete CR identifier once the CR is formally created.

The Service Physical Description Documents are provided only indirectly by means of an ICD. The SWIM Acceptance Team strongly recommends providing said documents explicitly, this is what is required by the SWIM Compliance Framework and simplifies significantly the evidence assessment process.

4.2 SWIM Compliance Criteria feedback

This section is filled in by any actor in the Compliance Process. This section includes the possible needs for improvements of the SWIM Compliance Framework Criteria.

5 References

- [1] 08.01.01 D42 SWIM ConOps https://extranet.sesarju.eu/WP 08/Project 08.01.01/Project%20Plan/DEL08.01.01-D42-SWIM%20conops.doc
- [2] European ATM Service Description Template
 https://extranet.sesarju.eu/WP-08/Project-08.03.10/Other%20Documentation/95%20active%20foundation%20documents/European%20ATM%20Service%20Description%20Template%200-20.docm
- [3] 08.03.10 SESAR European ATM Service Identification Document template https://extranet.sesarju.eu/Programme%20Library/SESAR%20European%20ATM%20Service%20Identification%20Document.dot
- [4] SESAR Safety and Performance Requirements template https://extranet.sesarju.eu/Programme%20Library/SESAR%20Safety%20and%20Performance%20Requirements.dot
- [5] SESAR Operational Service and Environment Definition template https://extranet.sesarju.eu/Programme%20Library/SESAR%20Operational%20Service%20an d%20Environment%20Definition.dot
- [6] B.04.03-D81 SESAR Working Method on Services Edition 2013 https://extranet.sesarju.eu/intraprogman/Assessment%20Library/D81%20-%20SESAR%20Working%20Method%20on%20Services%20Edition%202013%20-%20released.doc
- [7] 08.03.10 ISRM rulebook for rules to be followed by any Service (00:01:05th edition) https://extranet.sesarju.eu/intraprogman/Assessment%20Library/ISRM Foundation Rulebook.docx
- [8] 08.01.03 AIRM Compliance Framework, (1.01th edition), https://extranet.sesarju.eu/WP 08/Project 08.01.03/Project%20Plan/AIRM Compliance Framework.doc
- [9] 08.01.03 AIRM Compliance Rulebook for R5, https://extranet.sesarju.eu/WP 08/Project 08.01.03/Project%20Plan/8.1.3.D07 AIRM Found ation Rulebook.doc
- [10]14.01.03-D36 SWIM Profiles for Iteration 3.0, Ed 00.01.00, December 2014 https://extranet.sesarju.eu/WP 14/Project 14.01.03/Project%20Plan/P14.1.3-D36%20SWIM%20Profiles%20for%20Iteration%203.0.doc
- [11] 14.01.04-D42-005 SWIM-TI Blue Profile Technical Specification 3.0, Ed 00.01.00 https://extranet.sesarju.eu/WP-14/Project-14.01.04/Project%20Plan/14.01.04.D42-005-SWIM-TI%20Blue%20Profile%20Technical%20Specification%203.0.doc
- [12]14.01.04.D42-004 SWIM-TI Yellow Profile Technical Specification 3.0, Ed 00.01.00 https://extranet.sesarju.eu/WP 14/Project 14.01.04/Project%20Plan/14.01.04.D42-004-SWIM-TI%20Yellow%20Profile%20Technical%20Specification%203.0.doc
- [13]14.01.04.D42-006 SWIM-TI Purple Profile Technical Specification 3.0, Ed 00.01.00 https://extranet.sesarju.eu/WP 14/Project 14.01.04/Project%20Plan/14.01.04.D42-006-SWIM-TI%20Purple%20Profile%20Technical%20Specification%203.0.doc
- [14]14.01.03-D34 SWIM Profiles for Step 2 Iteration 2.1, Ed 00.01.00, December 2013 https://extranet.sesarju.eu/WP-14/Project-14.01.03/Project%20Plan/P14.1.3-D34%20SWIM%20Profiles%20for%20Step%202%20%E2%80%93%20Iteration%202.1.doc
- [15]14.01.04.D41-005 SWIM-TI Yellow Profile Technical Specification 2.1, Ed 00.02.002 https://extranet.sesarju.eu/intraprogman/Assessment%20Library/14.01.04.D41-005-SWIM-TI%20Yellow%20Profile%20Technical%20Specification%202.1 00.02.00.doc

founding members



- [16]14.01.04.D41-006 SWIM-TI Blue Profile Technical Specification 2.1, Ed 00.02.002 https://extranet.sesarju.eu/intraprogman/Assessment%20Library/14.01.04.D41-006-SWIM-TI%20Blue%20Profile%20Technical%20Specification%202.1 00.02.00.doc
- [17]14.01.04.D41-007 SWIM-TI Purple Profile Technical Specification 2.1, Ed 00.02.002 https://extranet.sesarju.eu/intraprogman/Assessment%20Library/14.01.04.D41-007-SWIM-TI%20Purple%20Profile%20Technical%20Specification%202.1 00.02.00.doc
- [18]08.01.03 AIRM Primer, Edition 00.06.00

https://extranet.sesarju.eu/WP 08/Project 08.01.03/Project%20Plan/8.1.3.D13 AIRM Primer .doc

[19]08.01.03 AIRM Governance Handbook, v. 00.01.06,

https://extranet.sesarju.eu/WP 08/Project 08.01.03/Project%20Plan/AIRM Governance Handbook.doc

[20]08.01.03 D45 AIRM, v. 4.0.1

https://extranet.sesarju.eu/WP 08/Project 08.01.03/Project%20Plan/8.1.3.D45 AIRM%20v4. 0.0.doc

[21]08.01.01 D48 SWIM Compliance Framework Criteria, v. 00.02.11

https://extranet.sesarju.eu/WP_08/Project_08.01.01/Project%20Plan/D48%20SWIM%20Compliance/08%2001%2001-D48-SWIM%20Compliance%20Framework%20Criteria.docx

[22]14.01.04 Project Execution Library

https://extranet.sesarju.eu/WP 14/Project 14.01.04/Project%20Plan/Forms/AllItems.aspx

[23] AIRM R5 Compliance Folder

https://extranet.sesarju.eu/WP 08/Project 08.01.03/Other%20Documentation/Forms/AllItems_aspx?RootFolder=%2fWP%5f08%2fProject%5f08%2e01%2e03%2fOther%20Documentation%2fWorking%5fArea%2fService%5fand%5fSystem%5fSupport%5fTeam%2fR5%20Compliance&FolderCTID=0x012000BB83ED945FF2094D840C0B2691025A14&View=%7b3278B414%2d5D4C%2d49E1%2dAAEA%2d55FB32B7894B%7d

-END OF DOCUMENT-

